Question: We were wondering what HHRA of air contaminants component of the Green Hydrogen and ammonia plant for phase I technical study (ie., Air quality release estimates, Human health risk assessment of air contaminates, Ammonia fate and effects study, Hydrologic assessment of water supply) was included in phase I, would this not be part of the Phase II scope?

Response: Phase I of the Project will include all core components of the Plant infrastructure including electrolyzers and ammonia conversion requirements, not just the wind farm. Future phases of the Project expand upon this. As a result, the hydrogen and ammonia plant EIS requirements are included in the scope of the RFP.

Question: Will Everwind except responses that cover a partial portion of the overall scope **Response:** Yes, Proponents may bid on all or portions of the requested services.

Question: Avian Radars: Do the avian radars that are in the field collect data on the presence of bats?

Response: Although the avian radars that were utilized may detect the movement of bats they cannot differentiate between birds and bats and therefore a dedicated monitoring for bats is required.

Question: Technical Studies: Noise Impact Assessment: Do we need to assess phases 1 to 3 combined? Do the Technical Studies then need to distinguish between the three phases and report them combined or separately? Or would the study split up into three phases with different timelines and reports?

Response: The Noise Impacts Assessments need to cover all three Project phases and be separately distinguished by phase. Only one final deliverable is required and this document should encompass the work for each phase, each in its own section / chapter (ie. all work combined into one document).

Question: Noise Impact Assessment: We are assuming we also need to assess the construction phase. Is that correct? Should this also be included in phases 1 to 3?

Response: The Noise Impacts Assessments for the construction phase of the Project should be excluded. The assessments required are specific to the noise impacts of the wind farm/turbines, solar farm and plant only.

Question: Noise Impact Assessment: We assumed we would include in the NIA the wind farms, solar farms and green hydrogen/ammonia plant operations and their construction. Is that correct? If yes, will the client deliver the necessary input like the sound power levels of the plant and equipment used, operating hours and the number of installations and

equipment for the distinctive construction phases? When will the final decision be made on equipment and their locations?

Response: The Noise Impact Assessments are only required for the wind farm / turbines, solar farm and plant for all three Project Phases. Technical specifications related to these components is currently ongoing and it is expected that inputs will be provided in advance of the EIS work being conducted.

Question: Noise Impact Assessment: Is the study aimed at the Environmental Impact Statement or is it also a more detailed permitting study? Specifically, will the consultant be required to undertake mitigation activities to reduce impacts (ex. Adjusting turbine location, and silencers for equipment)?

Response: The NIA study will be included in the final EIS submission and will be used to support public engagement. In the event that any exceedances to noise impacts are discovered, Everwind will use study outcomes to adjust layout designs as applicable. The Consultant/Proponent will not be required to undertake mitigation activities to reduce noise impacts under the EIS RFP scope of services.

Question: Drone: What is the expectation for the use of drones at the site? Drones are typically deployed to review a small, targeted area. They do not have the range to cover the entirety of the Burin Peninsula. Can details be provided?

Response: If drones do not allow for the appropriate coverage, the Proponent should propose other acceptable methods for completing ecological land classification and wetland delineation.

Question: For the Linton Lake Storage water quality (6 samples) and sediment chemistry (3 lake bottom grab samples) requirements, no specific analytical requirements were identified. Are there specific analytical parameters that are required for this surface water and sediment sampling?

Response: RCAP-MS (total metals) in surface water should be measured as well as the measurement of sediment for TPH.

Question: Cost Table: Atmospheric: Field Studies/Surveys: The fourth task lists Phase I Field Study: Baseline noise levels (assume 16 locations), and baseline vibration (assume 10 locations), and the fifth task lists Phase I Field Study: Baseline vibration (assume 10 locations). Is the baseline vibration to be listed twice?

Response: There is a duplication/typo in the cost table. The baseline vibration (10 locations) only needs to be measure once (i.e.., fifth task for the atmospheric VEC).

For the fourth task of the atmospheric VEC please only cost the baseline noise levels (16 locations and remove the duplicate text for baseline vibration).

Question: Aquatic: Would eDNA sampling be considered to determine the presence of fish in waterbodies and watercourses in place of netting and electrofishing?

Response: Yes, we may consider highlighting the potential use of eDNA sampling during the regulatory consultations. However, for the RFP response we request that Proponents only provide the costing/services for this VEC requested in the RFP.

Question: When does the proponent expect to have the final project designs completed? This includes the wind turbine layout, the solar farm designs, and the engineering details of the hydrogen/ammonia plant.

Response: Designs and layouts for the Project continue to be iterated and a projection for the final specification cannot be provided at this time. However, Everwind expects to provide Proponents with guidance on designs prior to the commencement of EIS field work.

Question: Can any mapping of the locations of access roads or trails to access the Burn peninsula be provided?

Response: The road and access route network for project construction remains at the design stage and is not available at the current time. For existing access routes, trails and roads within the proposed Project areas the Proponent should refer to publicly available mapping data for the province of Newfoundland & Labrador.

Question: With the amended submission date is there a change to the expected award date.

Response: With the change in the proposal submission date, the selection of successful Proponent(s) will be made no sooner than July 8th, 2024.

There will be no further postings of Q&A.